

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J		PAGE OF PAGES 1 6	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 04-Aug-2014		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY MARCORSYSCOM CESS 2202 LESTER STREET QUANTICO VA 22134		CODE M67854		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. M67854-14-R-1021	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 14-Jul-2014	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of subject Amendment is to: (1) provide responses to industry questions (Attachment 1); (2) incorporate a revised IWCS Purchase Description (RFP Attachment J1); and, (3) update the evaluation process. Updated information is highlighted for offeror convenience. Please see page 2 for details.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: EMAIL:			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 04-Aug-2014	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION J - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS

The following have been modified:

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment #	Nomenclature	Date
Attachment J1	Purchase Description IWCS	Updated August 2014
Attachment J2	Contract Data Requirements List	May 2014
Attachment J3	Patterns IWCS	June 2014
Attachment J4	Past Performance Questionnaire	May 2014

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The following have been modified:

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD**EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD**

This acquisition will utilize LPTA source selection procedures in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) FAR 15.101-2. Technical tradeoffs will not be made and no additional credit will be given for exceeding the minimum technical requirements. The Marine Corps System Command (MARCORSYSCOM) will award one (1), forty-eight (48) month, FFP, IDIQ contract for the IWCS to an Offeror whose proposal submission is determined to be technically acceptable with acceptable past performance and the lowest evaluated price, who is deemed responsible in accordance with the FAR, and whose proposal conforms to the solicitation requirements. The solicitation requirements include all stated terms, conditions, representations, certifications, and all other information required by Section L of this solicitation.

The Government will organize offers by dollar value (lowest to highest) and will then begin the technical and past performance evaluation. The Government will review the lowest-priced offeror first for technical and past performance acceptability. The offeror must be acceptable in all factors listed in the solicitation to be considered technically acceptable. If the lowest-priced offeror is not technically acceptable with acceptable past performance, the Government will progress through the remaining offerors based on the next lowest-price until an offeror is determined to be technically acceptable with acceptable past performance. AT THAT POINT, ANY REMAINING PROPOSALS WILL NOT BE EVALUATED. Partial awards will not be made.

The Government intends to evaluate proposals and make an award on an "initial offer" without discussions. Should the Government determine discussions to be necessary; the Government will establish a competitive range and notify all Offerors of their inclusion or exclusion. Discussions will be held with those Offerors in the competitive range. Offerors eliminated from the competitive range will be notified in writing in accordance with FAR 15.503. Upon receipt of Final Proposal Revisions (FPRs), the Government will organize offers by dollar value (lowest to highest) and will then begin the FPR technical evaluation. The Government will review the lowest-priced offeror first for technical and past performance acceptability. The offeror must be acceptable in all factors listed in the solicitation to be considered technically acceptable. If the lowest-priced offeror is not technically acceptable, the

Government will progress through the remaining offerors based on the next lowest-price until an offeror is determined to be technically acceptable with acceptable past performance. Only the Offeror determined to be technically acceptable with acceptable past performance, either initially or as a result of discussions, will be considered for award. Partial awards will not be made.

M.2 Evaluation Factors

Factor 1: Technical Acceptability

Subfactor 1: End Item Visual Evaluation

Subfactor 2: End Item Dimensional Evaluation

Subfactor 3: Compliance with Performance Requirements

Subfactor 4: Manufacturing Capability

Factor 2: Past Performance

Factor 3: Price

The chart below shall be used in the evaluation of Factor 1 at the factor level. The ratings below will only be applied at the factor level.

TECHNICAL ACCEPTABILITY RATINGS	
Rating	Definition
Acceptable	Proposal clearly meets the minimum requirements of the solicitation.
Unacceptable	Proposal does not clearly meet the minimum requirements of the solicitation.

M.2.1 Factor 1: Technical Acceptability

M.2.1.1 Subfactor 1: End Item Visual Examination

End item visual evaluation, utilizing the PDM's (specified in L.3.2.2), shall be conducted in accordance with the Table XVI as outlined in the IWCS PD (Attachment J1). As such, systems may be disassembled or destroyed by the technical evaluation board in the process of inspection and/or evaluation. Any or all PDMs used for evaluation purposes may not be returned.

Pass/Fail Criteria shall be as specified in IWCS PD (Attachment J1). Finished end item blouse and trousers shall be subjected to the end item visual examination. All fabric and garment defects shall be scored in accordance with examination descriptions as specified in Table XVI of the IWCS PD (Attachment J1). End item visual inspection pass/fail criteria shall be as follows:

- Pass/Acceptable: ≤ 5
- Fail/Unacceptable: ≥ 6

*Pass / Fail criteria are measured against all PDMs combined, as opposed to per individual PDM.

M.2.1.2 Subfactor 2: End Item Dimensional & Fit Evaluation

A technical evaluation board will verify and evaluate PDMs (specified in L.3.2.2) for compliance with the IWCS PD (Attachment J1).

All six PDMs will be evaluated against all finished dimension requirements as specified in Table XVII within IWCS PD (Attachment J1). The PDM may be disassembled for the evaluation as required.

Pass/Fail Criteria is as follows:

a. End Item Dimensional Examination

- Pass/Acceptable: 0
- Fail/Unacceptable: ≥ 1

*Pass / Fail criteria are measured against all PDMs combined, as opposed to per individual PDM.

M.2.1.3 Subfactor 3: Compliance with Performance Requirements

The IWCS will be evaluated per the IWCS PD (Attachment J1).

Pass/Fail Criteria is as follows:

Failure to meet any one of the Material and garment Testing requirements referenced in section 4.4.4.3 in the IWCS PD (Attachment J1) will constitute failure and render the entire proposal technically unacceptable. NOTE: As long as the offeror's proposal and PDMs use the basic materials identified in the IWCS PD (Attachment J1), their proposal will not be evaluated for the following requirements:

- Instrumented Manikin Test
- Thermal Protective Performance
- Thermal Shrinkage

Offerors who comply with the specified Government materials for sample PDM production MUST provide supporting data for all characteristics contained in IWCS PD (Attachment J1), (minus the aforementioned exceptions). Supporting data MUST be provided which complies with test methodologies outlined in IWCS PD (Attachment J1), paragraph 3 entitled "Requirements," and paragraph 4 entitled "Verification." To be considered "technically acceptable," an offeror must provide the aforementioned information, minus the previous exceptions indicated.

If an offeror submits a proposal and PDMs made from alternative ("or equal") material not specified in IWCS PD (Attachment J1), the offeror MUST provide supporting data for ALL characteristics contained in IWCS PD (Attachment J1). Supporting data MUST be provided which complies with test methodologies outlined in IWCS PD (Attachment J1), paragraph 3 entitled "Requirements," and paragraph 4 entitled "Verification." To be considered "technically acceptable," an offeror MUST provide the aforementioned information for all requirements contained in IWCS PD (Attachment J1).

The Government reserves the right to exclude a component from testing based on pre-existing test results. For those components authorized an exemption, additional testing is not required but may be performed as deemed necessary by the Government. The Government reserves the right not to conduct any or all tests. If exemptions have not been granted, should a test be conducted on one offeror's PDM, that test shall be conducted on all other offerors PDMs. Should testing be conducted, if the Government's test results demonstrate failure to meet a respective requirement within IWCS PD (Attachment J1), an offeror's own submitted test data supporting its claim of performance will be considered negated, thereby making an offeror's PDM submission "unacceptable."

The Government reserves the right to conduct any, all or no testing. If a specified component is substituted, the Government reserves the right to test the substituted item to ensure equivalency.

M.2.1.4 Subfactor 4: Manufacturing Capability

Information provided in accordance with Section L.3.2.3.2 will be used to evaluate the Offeror's ability to deliver 100 FAT IWCS in the specified tariff (RFP Section F) to the Government no later than (60) days after contract

award as well as the capability to deliver 37,000 IWCS within 12 months of shipping the 1st set. Further, the Government will evaluate an offeror's effectiveness in ensuring reliable manufacture of items conforming to technical requirements throughout the contract period of performance.

M.2.2 Factor 2 – Past Performance

Past performance shall be evaluated in accordance with FAR 15.305 and DFARS 215.305 and will be rated on an “acceptable” or “unacceptable” basis using the ratings identified below:

PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION RATINGS	
Rating	Definition
Acceptable	Based on the Offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the Offeror's performance record is unknown. (See note below.)
Unacceptable	Based on the Offeror's performance record, the Government has no reasonable expectation that the Offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.

Note: In case of an Offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the Offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (see FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iv)). Therefore, the Offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, “unknown” shall be considered “acceptable.”

M.2.3 Factor 3 - Price

M.2.3.1 Price Evaluation

The Government will conduct a price analysis using one or more of the techniques specified in FAR 15.404-1(b) in an effort to determine price reasonableness.

A total evaluated price will be calculated for the IWCS first article test quantities, as well as production quantities, spare/repair components, training materials and associated status reports. This total evaluated price will be the sum of the quantities evaluated for all respective CLINs (CLINs 0001 through 0015) priced in accordance with Section B Pricing Instructions which are as follows:

CLIN	Description	Evaluation Quantity	Proposed Unit Price	Total
0001	FAT (IWCS Woodland MARPAT)	100	\$	= Evaluation Quantity x Proposed Unit Price
0002	IWCS Woodland	35,000	\$	= Evaluation Quantity x Proposed Unit Price
0003	IWCS Woodland or Desert	15,000	\$	= Evaluation Quantity x Proposed Unit Price
0004	IWCS Navy NWU II	6,000	\$	= Evaluation Quantity x Proposed Unit Price
0005	IWCS Navy NWU III	2,000	\$	= Evaluation Quantity x Proposed Unit Price
0006	CDRLS	-	\$	= NSP

The Government may use various price analysis techniques and procedures to ensure a fair and reasonable price.

M.2.3.2 Unbalanced Pricing

The Government may determine that a proposal is unacceptable if the prices proposed are materially unbalanced between line items or subline items. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly overstated or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. A proposal may be rejected if the Contracting Officer determines that the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.

(End of Summary of Changes)